



Climate Contribution Framework

Evaluation conducted in November 2025 based on CCF V1.0 methodology



Because our **impact** matters



Climate Contribution Framework – EDF ScoreBoard

| Pillar | Sub-pillar | Sub-pillar score | Assessment framework |
|---|---|------------------|--|
| A – Emissions Reduction 77/100 → 49/64 | A1 – Past & current performance | 92/100 | CCF simplified – Result-oriented <i>Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified – Mean-oriented</i> |
| | A2 – Ambition & targets | 74/100 | TPI – Carbon Performance <i>Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, SBTi, ACT Module 1 WBA, Moody's NZA</i> |
| | A3a – Implementation strategy | 67/100 | CCF simplified <i>Other frameworks assessed : Moody's NZA, ACT Module 2+3 WBA, TPI – Management Quality</i> |
| | A3b – Supplier engagement | 60/100 | ACT Module 6 WBA <i>Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified</i> |
| | A3c – Customer engagement | 84/100 | ACT Module 7 self-assessed <i>Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, ACT Module 7 WBA</i> |
| | A4 – Governance | 81/100 | TPI – Management Quality <i>Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, ACT Module 5 WBA, Moody's NZA</i> |
| | A5 – Policy & influence | 70/100 | ACT Module 8 WBA <i>Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, InfluenceMap, TPI – Management Quality</i> |
| B – Climate Solutions 67/100 → 15/22 | B1 – Current green revenues | 74/100 | EU Taxonomy |
| | B2 – Forecast green share | 74/100 | EU Taxonomy |
| | B3 – Current avoided emissions | 59/100 | EDF internal methodology |
| C – Climate Financing 50/100 → 3/6 | C1 – GHG tonne-denominated instruments | 50/100 | Label Bas Carbone, Gold Standard, Verra |
| | C2 – Non-tonne denominated climate finance | | Scientific patronage (<i>chaires Economie du Climat, Finance, DD, RRSC...</i>) Membership of advocacy organizations (<i>Bruegel Florence School of Regulation, European Policy Centre</i>) Partnerships with think-tanks and NGOs (<i>IDDRi, National Museum of Natural History, IUCN, Birdlife, Conservatories of Natural Areas</i>) |
| | C3 – Instruments with secondary climate impacts | | EDF Pulse Ventures |

Evaluation conducted in November 2025 based on CCF V1.0 methodology



Key take-aways:

- As part of the power generation sector, most of EDF's expected contribution is on the **minimization of its footprint (pillar A)** and the **deployment of climate solutions (pillar B)**, which is precisely where **most of the effort is allocated**.
- The score for **climate financing (pillar C)** is the lowest due to amounts invested, although the least material for the sector.

Climate Contribution Framework – Focus on pillar A



| Pillar | Sub-pillar | Sub-pillar score | Assessment Framework + Quality | Performance | Assessment framework | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------|--|
| A – Emissions Reduction 77/100 → 49/64 | A1 – Past & current performance | 92/100 = (92%*100)/100 = | 92% = 67% (Framework) + 25% (Quality) | ✘ | 100/100 | CCF simplified – Result-oriented Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified – Mean-oriented |
| | A2 – Ambition & targets | 74/100 = (78%*95)/100 = | 78% = 58% (Framework) + 20% (Quality) | ✘ | 95/100 | TPI – Carbon Performance Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, SBTi, ACT Module 1 WBA, Moody's NZA |
| | A3a – Implementation strategy | 67/100 = (78%*86)/100 = | 78% = 53% (Framework) + 25% (Quality) | ✘ | 86/100 | CCF simplified Other frameworks assessed : Moody's NZA, ACT Module 2+3 WBA, TPI – Management Quality |
| | A3b – Supplier engagement | 60/100 = (100%*60)/100 = | 100% (max) ≤ 75% (Framework) + 30% (Quality)* | ✘ | 60/100 | ACT Module 6 WBA Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified |
| | A3c – Customer engagement | 84/100 = (95%*88)/100 = | 95% = 75% (Framework) + 20% (Quality) | ✘ | 88/100 | ACT Module 7 self-assessed Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, ACT Module 7 WBA |
| | A4 – Governance | 81/100 = (81%*100)/100 = | 81% = 51% (Framework) + 30% (Quality) | ✘ | 100/100 | TPI – Management Quality Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, ACT Module 5 WBA, Moody's NZA |
| | A5 – Policy & influence | 70/100 = (98%*71)/100 = | 98% = 68% (Framework) + 30% (Quality) | ✘ | 71/100 | ACT Module 8 WBA Other frameworks assessed : CCF simplified, InfluenceMap, TPI – Management Quality |

Evaluation conducted in November 2025 based on CCF V1.0 methodology

Key strengths

- Performance scores show that EDF's past and current performance align with sectoral benchmarks (A1), that its ambition and targets are aligned with a low-carbon transition (A2) and a high-level governance is in place to ensure the company addresses the challenges of the low-carbon transition (A4).

Areas for improvements

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>Demonstration effort</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve the quality and disclosing of your implementation strategy to better valorize your contribution (A3a) | <p>Climate action strengthening</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supplier engagement ought to be reinforced to ensure Scope 3 emissions reduction (A3b) Further strengthen your policy & influence strategy (A5) to better drive transformation across the entire ecosystem |
|--|--|

*Assessment Framework + Quality can exceed 100% (sum of Framework [0-75%] and Quality [0-35%]). In this case, the score is capped at 100%.



Climate Contribution Framework – Focus on pillar B

| Pillar | Sub-pillar | Sub-pillar score | Assessment Framework + Quality | Performance | Assessment framework | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| B – Climate Solutions 67/100 → 15/22 | B1 – Current green revenues | 74/100 = (100%*74)/100 = | 100% (max) ≤ 75% (Framework) + 30% (Quality)* | ✗ | 74/100 | EU Taxonomy |
| | B2 – Forecast green revenues | 74/100 | Computation based on B1 score | | | |
| | B3 – Current avoided emissions | 59/100 = (74%*80)/100 = | 74% = 44% (Framework) + 30% (Quality) | ✗ | 80/100 | EDF internal methodology |

Evaluation conducted in November 2025 based on CCF V1.0 methodology

Key strengths

- Pillar B demonstrates the position of EDF as a climate solution provider with strong share of green revenues and avoided emissions ratio.

Areas for improvements

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>Demonstration effort</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstration effort: Strengthening the credibility and robustness of the avoided emissions method by relying on externally built and recognized approaches | <p>Climate action strengthening</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited area for pure intrinsic improvement here: Incremental gains from shifting mix of activities to focus on cleaner production / action in countries with higher potential to substitute carbon-intensive alternatives, etc. |
|--|---|

*Assessment Framework + Quality can exceed 100% (sum of Framework [0-75%] and Quality [0-35%]). In this case, the score is capped at 100%.

Climate Contribution Framework – Focus on pillar C

| Pillar | Sub-pillar | Sub-pillar score | Assessment Framework + Quality | Performance | Assessment framework |
|---|---|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| C – Climate Financing 50/100 → 3/6 | C1 – GHG tonne-denominated instruments | 50/100 = | 86% | ✘ 63/100 (0.6% of profits) | Label Bas Carbone, Gold Standard, Verra |
| | C2 – Non-tonne denominated climate finance | | 73% | | Scientific patronage (<i>chaires Economie du Climat, Finance, DD, RRSC...</i>) Membership of advocacy organizations (<i>Bruegel Florence School of Regulation, European Policy Centre</i>) Partnerships with think-tanks and NGOs (<i>IDDRI, National Museum of Natural History, IUCN, Birdlife, Conservatories of Natural Areas</i>) |
| | C3 – Instruments with secondary climate impacts | | 80% | | EDF Pulse Ventures |

Evaluation conducted in November 2025 based on CCF V1.0 methodology

Key strengths

- Moderate overall impact (annual investments of 0.6% of net profit)
- High-quality programs used for GHG tonne-denominated instruments (C1) : endorsed by ICROA/ICVCM with eligible methodologies and 3rd party validation
- Rather stringent & verified selection of recipients for C2 with CCM-oriented financing.

Areas for improvements

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>Demonstration effort</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited area for improvement: keep favoring investments through ICROA-endorsed / ICVCM-eligible carbon crediting programs such as Gold Standard or Verra (C1), keep having in place strong audited/traceability of recipient activities and make sure to finance patronage / memberships / partnerships dedicated to CCM, publicly report on their climate impact, use a transparent selection process and external audit (C2, C3) | <p>Climate action strengthening</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase overall climate financing |
|---|---|

Annex

Methodology content

The Climate Contribution Framework score is derived from three weighted pillars that reflect key levers of impact

The **Climate Contribution Framework** is a meta framework to measure global companies' contribution to **net zero**.

It assesses 3 pillars — **emissions reduction (A)**, **climate solutions (B)**, and **climate financing (C)** — and weights (α , β , γ) them by sector to reflect each industry's distinct role in collectively achieving global net zero.

Combining scores $\in [0;100]$ on 3 pillars **A, B, C**

... with context-adjusted weightings $\in [0\%;100\%]$ **α , β , γ**

A – Carbon Footprint Reduction Current and planned GHG reductions across Scope 1, 2, and 3, including selected climate policy commitment KPIs.

B – Climate Solutions Current and planned avoided or negative emissions enabled by a company's products and services.

C – Climate Financing Current and planned financing of carbon reduction and removal projects, both within and beyond the value chain, including policy advocacy and industry contributions.

Weighting coefficients reflect that each lever's impact varies by sector. The sum ($\alpha + \beta + \gamma$) captures the sector's climate materiality [10%–100%], using NACE 4-level granularity (~100 subsectors).

$$\text{Actual Contribution} = A * \alpha + B * \beta + C * \gamma$$


The generic formula behind pillar scoring

Each **pillar score** (A: Emissions reduction, B: Climate solutions, C: Climate finance) is calculated using a **generic formula**:

$$\text{SubPillar Score} = \text{Assessment (Quality + Framework)} \times \text{Performance}$$

- **Assessment**
 - **Quality** → How robust is the data underpinning the assessment? Who is the assessor (i.e., self-assessed, independent, or mandated third-party)? Has the assessment been audited? What level of assurance?
 - **Framework** → How robust is the framework used to assess the company's actions?
- **Performance** → How does my company perform under the assessment framework?

This three-factor approach ensures that pillar scores reflect not only the ambition of actions and results achieved, but also the reliability of the data underpinning them. They are built on existing frameworks.




For illustration only

Zoom on Pillar A – Carbon Footprint Reduction

Our goals

- **Assess both ambition and performance** through detailed sub-pillars.
- **Map and benchmark existing climate frameworks** using a common translation system.
- **Provide a simplified approach** that allows any company to be evaluated quickly on the most critical aspects of climate action.

5 sub-pillars aligned with international guidelines, combining current performance and transition plans, with scores aggregated through a weighted average.



Assessment QUALITY [0-30%]
+
Assessment FRAMEWORK [0-70%]

Hypothesis with third-party reviewed assessment

| | CCF simplified approach | SBTi | ACT | ERI |
|-----|-------------------------|------|------|-----|
| 75% | 75% | | 100% | |
| 75% | 75% | 100% | 100% | |
| 75% | 75% | | 100% | 50% |
| 75% | 75% | | 100% | |
| 75% | 75% | | 100% | |

×

PERFORMANCE

| CCF simplified approach | SBTi | ACT | ERI |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| score | | score | |
| score | score | score | |
| score | | score | score |
| score | | score | |
| score | | score | |